

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,734	01/17/2001	Paula Ann Johnson	J3509(C)	6621
201	7590 07/12/2006		EXAM	INER
	ER INTELLECTUAL I	PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL		
700 SYLVAN AVENUE, BLDG C2 SOUTH ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/764,734	JOHNSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alton N. Pryor	1616				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address -				
Period for Reply		(A) A = -1 (A) = A (A)				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period version for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tindividual of the common of t	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ap	oril 2006.					
·— · · _ —	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,4,7,8,10-12,15,18,21 and 23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 5,6,9,13,14,16,17,19,20,22 and 24-28	_					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
dee the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the contined copies flot receiv	<del>.</del>				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summan	v (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/764,734 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

## **DETAILED ACTION**

I. Rejection of claims 3,13,14 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Voss (US 3507796) and Franks et al (US 4145532) will not be maintained in light

of amendment filed 4/19/06 for reason as follows. Claim 3 is cancelled. Voss

does not teach or suggest a composition comprising less than 50% water or

wherein the ratio of liquid components to water is 65:35 as recited in claims 13

and 14 respectively.

II. Rejection of claims 1,4,7,8,10-12,15,18,21,23 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voss (US 3507796) and Franks et al (US 4145532) will be maintained in light of amendment filed 4/19/06 for reason on record and reason as follows.

### A. Applicant argues:

- Voss discloses detergents and, in addition, amine oxides which are solids at room temperature with dimethyldodecylamine oxide having the lowest m.p. of 132-133 degree C.
- Voss and Franks et al are non-analogous references since they
  disclose a different function and means for accomplishing their
  respective functions. For this reason, the combination of references
  is improper.
- 3. Voss' compositions (e.g. mouthwash) use in excess of 70% water and therefore, would not be desirable as a deodorant; whereas,

Application/Control Number: 09/764,734 Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

subject compositions are formulated in organic solvents as the major component. See claims 13,14 and new claim 28.

#### B. Examiner argues:

- Dimethyldodecylamine meets the limitation of the claims which requires the amine N to bare at least one N-substituent having C1-C10 terminal hydrocarbyl group. The two methyl groups of dimethyldodecylamine meets this requirement. Note the claims employ "comprising" language which allows for the inclusion of other detergents taught by Voss. Note claims do not define a state of matter requirement for the amine component. Therefore, dimethyldodecylamine meets the limitation the amine requirement for the invention.
- 2. Franks et al is only used here to support that amine oxides have the capability of functioning as solvents and based on Franks et al teaching it can be deduced that dimethyldodecylamine functions as a solvent. There is no other reason for employing Franks et al in office action.
  Note, Voss alone meets the limitations of the claims.
- Mouthwash deodorizes the mouth. Therefore, Voss'
  mouthwash comprising in excess of 70% water serves as a

Application/Control Number: 09/764,734 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

deodorant. In the claims presently rejected the water limitations of claims 13,14, and 28 are not required.

## III. Claim Objection

Claims 5,6,9,13,14,17,19,20,22,24-28 are objected to. The prior art does not teach or suggest the instant composition comprising 1) less than 50% water, 2) chelator salts of claim 5, and 3) a non-chlorinated propellant and an organic cationic bactericide.

## Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/764,734

**Art Unit: 1616** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 5

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616